Fertilizing Stressed Plants

Charles W. Martin

The Dow Gardens, 1018 W. Main, Midland, Michigan 48640

As growers, we all know our most important tool is our eyes. We are always observing and analyzing growth patterns, color of plants, abiotic and biotic plant damage, and stressed plants in order to produce the healthiest, most vigorous plants possible. The belief has long been that the strongest, healthiest plants were the plants with a vigorous growth rate. We have long emphasized fertilization with nitrogen in order to improve the plants growth and equated this with plant health. However, a fast growing plant doesn't always withstand the stresses of the homeowner's environment.

Dr. Paul J. Kramer, the noted plant physiologist, stated in 1956, "We will learn how to grow trees by learning how trees grow". Dr. Kramer is stating we can't just depend on our eyes to grow healthy plants, we need to understand what occurs within the plant that allows it to grow and survive. When we take a look into the plant's physiological mechanisms we will find that fertilization will often limit a plants natural resistance to environmental stresses.

Dr. Daniel Herms, our research entomologist at The Dow Gardens, has addressed through his research many questions regarding current fertilization practices and the effects nutrients have on the plant's ability to withstand stress. Dan has found that fertilization encourages growth but decreases in secondary metabolite production. These secondary metabolites defend the plant against insects and diseases, attract pollinators, protect the plant from U.V. light, provide structural support, act as temporary nutrient storage, regulate phytohormone activity, promote drought resistance, help facilitate nutrient uptake, and mediate plant relationships with symbiotic nitrogen-fixing bacteria. These metabolites are termed secondary only because they are not direct products of photosynthesis. As one can see, the health of the plant is very dependent on these metabolites.

There is strong evidence that fertilized trees have lower concentrations of secondary metabolites and thus are more susceptible to insects and diseases, as well as abiotic stress. It is well documented that succulent growth is susceptible to sucking insects (i.e. aphids, scales, leaf hoppers, and spider mites), and diseases (fire blight), with decreased winter hardiness and structural support. Other studies confirm fertilization increases growth but with a trade off in terms of decreased insect and disease resistance. A study on the gray willow (Salix cinerea) showed fertilization increased growth, with decreased concentrations of starch, lignin, tannins, and reduced resistance to a leaf-feeding beetle (Galerucella lineola). In other studies fertilization increased growth of Yukon white birch (Betula neoalaskana) and quaking aspen (Populus tremuloides) and decreased their concentrations of defense compounds, lowering resistance to snowshoe hares and leaf feeding insects. Balsam fir (Abies balsamea), grand fir (Abies grandis), and loblolly pine (Pinus taeda) have all shown increases in growth and a decreased resistance to insects in response to fertilization. When there are high levels of water, sun, and nutrients, secondary metabolites seem to take a back seat to growth.

Those who grow plants in order to utilize the secondary metabolites for profit have long recognized the value of growing plants under stressful conditions. Rubber trees

produce more rubber when growing slow, and in dry conditions Tobacco plants have higher levels of nicotine when growing in hot temperatures. And let's not forget the *Cannibus sativa* which is more potent when grown under some stress

One can use the teeter totter to picture the effect fertilizer has on plants. The more fertilizer one applies, the more growth but with a reduction of secondary metabolites and environmental resistance. Plants under some stress will have a reduced growth but will be more resistant to the environment.

In evaluating the health and fitness of a plant, we may find that the vigorous, fast-growing plant may not best tolerate the homeowner's environment Rapid growth has its consequences: decreased production of natural defenses. These defenses are important for the survival and well being of the plants we are producing.

ADDITIONAL READING

- Bazzaz, F.A., N.R. Chiariello, P.D. Coley, and L.F. Pitelka. 1987 Allocating resources to reproduction and defense Biosci 37 58-67
- **Bjorkman, C., S. Larsson,** and **R. Gref.** 1991 Effects of nitrogen fertilization on pine needle chemistry and sawfly performance Oecologia 86 202-209.
- Bryant, J.P., T.P. Clausen, P.B. Reichart, M.C. McCarthy, and R.A. Werner. 1987b Effect of nitrogen fertilization upon the secondary chemistry and nutritional value of quaking aspen (*Populus tremuloides* Michx) leaves for the large aspen tortrix (*Christonera conflictana* Walker) Oecologia 73 513-517
- Chapin, F.S., III. 1991 Integrated responses of plants to stress A centralized system of physiological responses BioSci 41 29-36
- **Graham, R.D.** 1983 Effects of nutrient stress on susceptibility of plants to disease with particular reference to the trace elements. Adv. Bot. Res. 10 222-276
- Hamilton, D.F., M.E.C. Graca, and S.D. Verkade. 1981 Critical effects of fertility on roots and shoot growth of selected landscape plants J Arbor 7 281-290
- **Herms, D.A.** 1989 Plants vs herbivores Nature's arm race Amer Nurseryman 170(5) 64-80
- Herms, D.A. 1996 Growing, growing, gone Amer Nurseryman 184(2) 52-58
- **Herms, D.A.,** and **W.J. Mattson.** 1992 The dilemma of plants to grow or defend Quart Rev Biol 67(3) 238-335
- **Kozlowski, T.T.** 1985 Tree growth in response to environmental stresses J Arbor 11 97-111
- Larsson, S., A. Wiren, L. Lundgren, and T. Ericsson. 1986 Effects of light and nutrient stress on leaf phenolic chemistry in Salix dasyclados and susceptibility to galerucella lineola (Coleoptera) Oikes 47.205-210
- Mattson, W.J. 1980 Herbivore in relation to plant nitrogen content Annu Rev Ecol Syst 11 119-161
- **McCullough, D.G.,** and **H.M. Kkulman.** 1991. Effects of nitrogen fertilization on young jack pine (*Pinus banksiana*) and its suitability as a host for jack pine budworm(*Christoneura pinus*)(Lepidoptera Totricidae) Can J For Res 21 1447-1458
- Rodgers, A.R., D. Williams, A.R.E. Sinclair, T.P. Sullivan, and R.J. Anderson. 1993 Does nursery production reduce antiherbivore defenses of white spruce? Evidence feeding experiments with snowshoe hares. Can. J. For. Res. 23 2358-2361
- **Shaw, G.G., C.H.A. Little,** and **D.J. Durzan.** 1978 Effect of fertilization of balsam fir trees on spruce budworm nutrition and development. Can. J. For. Res. 8 362-374
- **Stewart, J.D.,** and **V.J. Lieffers.** 1993 Preconditioning effects of nitrogen relative addition rate and drought stress on container-grown lodgepole pine seedlings Can J For Res 23 1663-1671.